

From: Masodoi@aol.com@inetgw
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/29/02 2:31am
Subject: Microsoft andti-trust case.

To Renata Hesse, trial attorney, Antitrust Divison, U.S. Deptment of Justice:

No one considered Microsoft a monopoly before it was so designated by Judge Penfold Jackson, who was so prejudiced against Microsoft that a related decision by him was overruled by the appeals court.

Microsoft's tactics to protect its share of the market are common business practice, which is illegal only if performed by a monopoly. So it cannot be punished for violating the Sherman Antitrust Act, before it was legally a monopoly; or it will become an ex post facto case.

Also, the Sherman Antitrust Act outlaws trusts, or combinations of companies, which conspire to restrain trade. Since when has a single company, which won a major share of the market because its service was so superior to its competitors, been prosecuted like Microsoft?

Microsoft founder Gill Gates is giving billions of dollars for worthy causes. By contrast, AOL/Times Warner is lavishing vast sums for politicians and slick lawyers to subvert the law for its own benefit. They are seeking competitive advantages by their list of demands that violate patent laws--not justice!

Hopefully U. S. District Judge Colleen Kollar-Kotelly uses her common sense and not be confused by the countless, questionable details, dredged up by the anti-Microsoft coalition.

Mas Odoi